



JUL 1 1 2003

Food and Drug Administration 2098 Gaither Road Rockville MD 20850

David M. Lyerly, Ph.D.
Vice-President of Research and Development
TECHLAB, Inc.
VPI Research Park
1861 Pratt Drive, Suite 1030
Blacksburg, VA 24060-6364

Re: k030992

Trade/Device Name: C. Diff CheckTM - 60 Regulation Number: 21 CFR 866.2660

Regulation Name: Microorganism Differentiation and Identification Device

Regulatory Class: Class I Product Code: LLH Dated: June 20, 2003 Received: June 23, 2003

Dear Dr. Lyerly:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to such additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Parts 800 to 895. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Parts 801 and 809); and good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820).

Page 2 -

This letter will allow you to begin marketing your device as described in your Section 510(k) premarket notification. The FDA finding of substantial equivalence of your device to a legally marketed predicate device results in a classification for your device and thus, permits your device to proceed to the market.

If you desire specific information about the application of labeling requirements to your device, or questions on the promotion and advertising of your device, please contact the Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Device Evaluation and Safety at (301) 594-3084. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21CFR Part 807.97). Other general information on your responsibilities under the Act may be obtained from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 443-6597 or at its Internet address http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/dsma/dsmamain.html.

Sincerely yours,

Steven I. Gutman, M.D., M.B.A.

Director

Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Device

Steven Butman

Evaluation and Safety

Center for Devices and

Radiological Health

Enclosure

2. STATEMENT OF INTENDED USE
510(k) Number (if known): K030992 Not known
Device Name: C. DIFF CHEK TM - 60
Indications for Use
The C. DIFF CHEK TM - 60 test is an enzyme immunoassay for use as a screening test to detect Clostridium difficile antigen, glutamate dehydrogenase, in fecal specimens from persons suspected of having C. difficile disease. The test does not distinguish toxigenic from non toxigenic strains of C. difficile. With the use of additional tests that detect C. difficile toxins, the test is to be used as an aid in the diagnosis of C. difficile disease. As with other C. difficile tests, results should be considered in conjunction with the patient history. FOR IN VITRO DIAGNOSTIC USE.
(PLEASE DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE - CONTINUE ON ANOTHER PAGE IF NEEDED) Concurrence of CDRH, Office of Device Evaluation (ODE)
Concurrence of CDRA, Office of Device Evaluation (ODE)
Prescription Use OR Over-The Counter Use (Per 21 CFR 801.109)
Division Sign-Off (Optional format 1-2-96)

510(k) K030999

Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Device Evaluation and Safety